

Attorney Docket No.: 02SKY103P-CON  
Application Serial No.: 10/666,617

**REMARKS**

The present amendment is in response to the *Non-Final* Office Action, dated June 30, 2005, where the Examiner has rejected claims 24-35, and objected to claim 36. By the present amendment, applicant has cancelled claim 36, amended claims 24, 27, 29, 32, 34 and 35, and added new claims 37-41. After the present amendment, claims 24-35 and 37-41 are pending in the present application. Allowance of pending claims 24-35 and 37-41 in view of the amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

**A. Rejection of Claim 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)**

The Examiner has rejected claim 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Plotnik (USPN 6,873,608) ("Plotnik") in view of Nakatsugawa (USPN 6,167,061) ("Nakatsugawa").

Applicant respectfully disagrees; however, in order to expedite the prosecution of the present application, applicant has amended claim 32 to include limitations similar to the limitations of cancelled claim 36. Claim 32, as amended, recites: "wherein said computing device connector and said mobile phone connector are at two ends of a cable, and wherein said mobile phone interface is interposed on said cable between said two ends." Applicant respectfully submits that claim 32, as amended, is now in condition for allowance at least for the same reasons the Examiner has stated that claim 36 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Attorney Docket No.: 02SKY103P-CON  
Application Serial No.: 10/666,617

**B. Rejection of Claims 24-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)**

The Examiner has rejected claims 24-31, under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as being anticipated by Plotnik.

Applicant respectfully disagrees; however, in order to expedite the prosecution of the present application, applicant has amended claim 24 to include limitations similar to the limitations of claim 32, as amended. Claim 24, as amended, recites: "code for transmitting said formatted data over said cable; wherein said cable includes a mobile phone interface interposed on said cable between said first end and said second end, and wherein said mobile phone interface has an interface engine in communication with said computing device connector, a digital translation block in communication with said interface engine, and a mobile phone interface in communication with said mobile phone connector." Applicant respectfully submits that claim 24, as amended, and its dependent claims 25-26 should be allowed at least for the same reasons stated above in conjunction with allowability of claim 32, as amended.

Further, applicant has amended claim 27 to include limitations similar to the limitations of claim 32, as amended. Claim 27, as amended, recites: "transmitting said formatted data over said cable; wherein said cable includes a mobile phone interface interposed on said cable between said first end and said second end, and wherein said mobile phone interface has an interface engine in communication with said computing device connector, a digital translation block in communication with said interface engine, and a mobile phone interface in communication with said mobile phone connector." Applicant respectfully submits that claim 27, as amended, and its dependent claim 28 should be allowed at least for the same reasons stated above in conjunction with allowability of claim 32, as amended.

Attorney Docket No.: 02SKY103P-CON  
Application Serial No.: 10/666,617

Also, applicant has amended claim 29 to include limitations similar to the limitations of claim 32, as amended. Claim 29, as amended, recites: "a transmitting module configured to transmit said formatted data over said cable; wherein said cable includes a mobile phone interface interposed on said cable between said first end and said second end, and wherein said mobile phone interface has an interface engine in communication with said computing device connector, a digital translation block in communication with said interface engine, and a mobile phone interface in communication with said mobile phone connector." Applicant respectfully submits that claim 29, as amended, and its dependent claims 30-31 should be allowed at least for the same reasons stated above in conjunction with allowability of claim 32, as amended.

**C. Rejection of Claims 33-35 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)**

The Examiner has rejected claims 33-35 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Plotnik in view of Nakatsugawa, and further in view of Colson (USPN 6,574,734) ("Colson").

Applicant respectfully submits that claims 33-35 depend from claim 32, and they should be allowed at least for the same reasons stated above in conjunction with allowability of claim 32, as amended.

**D. New Claims 37-41**

By the present amendment, applicant has added new dependent claims 37-38. Applicant respectfully submits that claims 37-38 depend from claim 32, and they should be allowed at least for the same reasons stated above in conjunction with allowability of claim 32, as amended.


Attorney Docket No.: 02SKY103P-CON  
Application Serial No.: 10/666,617

By the present amendment, applicant has also added new independent claim 39, which includes limitations similar to the limitations of claim 32, as amended. Claim 39 recites: “a mobile phone interface interposed on said cable between said first end and said second end, said mobile phone interface including: an interface engine in communication with said computing device connector; a digital translation block in communication with said interface engine; and a mobile phone interface in communication with said mobile phone connector.” Applicant respectfully submits that new claim 39 and its dependent claims 40-41 should be allowed at least for the same reasons stated above in conjunction with allowability of claim 32, as amended

**E. Conclusion**

For all the foregoing reasons, an early notice of allowance for claims 24-35 and 37-41 pending in the present application is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned for any questions.

Respectfully Submitted;  
FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP



Farshad Farjami, Esq.  
Reg. No. 41,014

FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP  
26522 La Alameda Ave., Suite 360  
Mission Viejo, California 92691  
Telephone: (949) 282-1000  
Facsimile: (949) 282-1002

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed by facsimile transmission to United States Patent and Trademark Office at facsimile number (571) 273-8300, on the date stated below.

7/22/05  
Date

Christina Carter  
Name

Christina Carter  
Signature